

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Civil Revision No. 4598 of 2017

Date of Decision: 09.12.2022

Sohan Lal

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Chief Administrator, HUDA and Others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. Munish Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. S.K.Mahajan, Advocate
for the respondent No.1 and 2.

Mr. Jaspal Singh Pannu, Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana, for the respondent No.3 and 4.

Mr. Hitesh Malik, Advocate
for Municipal Council, Cheeka.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. In the award passed by the Permanent Lok Adalat, the respondents were to provide the basic facilities in the existing industrial area. Complaining failure to provide the facilities, the petitioner has filed the execution petition which was disposed of after noting that the basic facilities have been provided.

2. The learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that some encroachments have not been removed by the respondents. The aforesaid matter can be better considered by the respondents on the

administrative side.

3. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this revision petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a representation in the concerned department.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

December 09, 2022

“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No