

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**SR. No.237**

**CRM-M-4569-2022**

**Date of Decision:07.03.2022**

**Pargat Singh**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and another**

**..Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH**

Present: Mr.K.S. Kang, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanvir Joshi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

[The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual court.]

**SANT PARKASH, J.**

Petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure invoking its inherent jurisdiction for quashing of FIR No.0185 dated 24.09.2020 registered under Sections 496/420/384/506/509 IPC at Police Station Samrala, District Khanna as well as the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise (P-4).

While issuing notice of motion on 08.02.2022, this Court directed both the parties to appear before the trial Court to record their statements with regard to genuineness of the compromise and the learned trial Court was directed to send a report to this Court in this regard. The report dated 22.02.2022 of Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division)-cum-SDJM, Samrala, has been received, wherein it is stated that in pursuance of the order of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded which

indicates that the compromise is genuine, without any coercion or undue influence.

Learned counsels appearing on behalf of both the parties admit that parties have settled their disputes.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and going through record of the case, this Court is of the considered opinion that it is a fit case for exercising the inherent jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C., so as to secure the ends of justice because the parties have arrived at an out of the Court settlement by way of compromise (Annexure P-4). The compromise is without any pressure and a genuine one. In such a situation, continuation of the prosecution would result in sheer abuse of process of law.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, the present petition is allowed. Resultantly, FIR No.0185 dated 24.09.2020 registered under Sections 496/420/384/506/509 IPC at Police Station Samrala, District Khanna as well as the consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioner.

**07.03.2022**  
**mks**

**(SANT PARKASH)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES / NO

Whether Reportable: YES / NO