

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

110

**CR-4514 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.09.2022**

Jasbir Kaur Khatra

..Petitioner

Versus

Harpreet Kaur Khatra and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Mohd. Yousaf, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. M.S.Dalal, Advocate, for respondent no.1.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Pursuant to a decree of partition, the joint property has been divided into the equal share. There are no allegations that the property allocated to respondent no.1 is more than her share. From a bare look at the site plan, it is evident that the petitioner has been allocated more frontage on the road. Her only objection is that the shape of the plot allocated to her is irregular.

The partition proceedings were initiated in the year 2008. Once the petitioner has been allocated more area on the frontage, no ground to interfere is made out.

In the last the learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that the value of the property allocated to respondent no.1 is more. However, there is no material available on record to prove that fact.

In view thereof, the revision petition is dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

September 07, 2022

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

nt

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*