

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-4056-2021

Date of decision : 20.04.2022

Tejinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Kanwalvir Singh Kang, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a first petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR no.167 dated 25.07.2020 registered under Sections 323, 324, 341, 506, 148, 149 IPC (Sections 325 and 326 IPC added later on) at Police Station Sadar Samana.

On 23.03.2021, a coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.167 dated 25.07.2020, under Sections 323, 324, 341, 506, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 325 and 326 IPC added subsequently, registered at Police Station Sadar Samana, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been attributed a simple injury on the left arm with an iron rod.

List on 03.05.2021.

In the meantime, petitioner is directed to appear

before the Investigating Officer and join investigation.

In the event of his arrest, the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer shall release the petitioner on ad-interim bail subject to his/her satisfaction. The petitioner shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

March 23, 2021”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the said order, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Raj Kumar has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for further investigation.

Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances moreso, the fact which has been noticed in the order dated 23.03.2021, and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 23.03.2021 is made absolute.

Nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail petition.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

April 20, 2022
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No