

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.3918 of 2022

Date of Decision: 19.09.2022

Smt. Manju Bhardwaj

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, D.A.G, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner herein has sought the relief of anticipatory/ pre-arrest bail in the criminal case arisen out of the FIR bearing No.209 dated 17.10.2021 registered at Police Station Tigaon, District Faridabad, under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B IPC.

2. Vide the order dated 01.02.2022, the Co-ordinate Bench had extended the relief of interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner, while directing her to join in the investigation. On 18.07.2022, learned State counsel had informed the Court that the petitioner had not so joined in compliance of the said order and therefore, in view of the request as made by learned counsel for the petitioner, she (petitioner) was granted one more opportunity to join in the investigation within one week.

3. Today, learned State counsel, on the instructions from SI Dharambir, from the afore-said Police Station, apprises the Court that though the petitioner had joined in the investigation but she did not co-operate the investigating agency.

4. In view of the above-discussed facts and circumstances, this Court is of the considered opinion that the petitioner does not deserve the relief of pre-arrest/anticipatory bail.

5. Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed accordingly.

September 19, 2022
Yag Dutt

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>