

[101] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-262-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision :23.12.2022

Wazir Singh ...Petitioner

versus

Ankur Gupta, IASRespondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate *assisted by*
Mr. Sandeep Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 03.09.2019, in CWP-24089-2019 in case titled as '**Dr. Wazir Singh versus State of Haryana and others**'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP-24089-2019 was disposed of vide order dated 03.09.2019 by directing the respondent to look into representation dated 01.03.2019 and to take a decision on the same in the light of notification dated 29.04.2015 by passing a speaking order as expeditiously as possible, preferably within one month.

[3] Learned counsel contends that although decision has been taken vide Annexures R-1/1 to R-1/6 and some benefits given as per notification but benefits of scale of Professor as on 08.08.2018 under the Career Advancement Scheme (CAS) has not been given to the petitioner.

[4] Learned DAG, Haryana, on the other hand vehemently contends that benefits as per entitlement in terms of notification have already been released and in case of grievance of the petitioner with regard to non-grant of scale of Professor under the CAS w.e.f. 08.08.2018, the petitioner if so advised, may avail remedy by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[5] In the light of the aforementioned position, learned counsel for the petitioner states that although he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioner to take out appropriate proceeding in accordance with law to seek the grant of pay scale of Professor under the CAS w.e.f. 08.08.2018.

[6] The same is not opposed to by the learned DAG, Haryana.

[7] Accordingly, in view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

23.12.2022

'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No