

**214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3648-2022

Date of Decision: 01.08.2022

HARPREET SINGH WALIA

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr.Nitesh Singhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

On 01.02.2022, the following order was passed:-

“The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing due to COVID-19 pandemic.

Harpreet Singh Walia-petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.152 dated 30.11.2021 under Sections 323, 406 and 498-A IPC registered at Women Police Station, Panchkula.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the marriage between the petitioner and the complainant was solemnized in the year 2013, the complainant never filed any complaint at the earlier instance, there are false and vague allegations with regard to harassment meted out to her, demand of dowry, wife swapping etc. without any specific date and time. Furthermore, it has also been observed in the impugned order that even the complainant did not join the investigation despite issuance of notice. Moreover, the complainant has also filed a complaint in Delhi regarding which the petitioner has also received notice to join the proceedings.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to get instructions with regard to pendency of matter in Delhi.

Adjourned to 29.04.2022.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) of Code of Criminal Procedure.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Devidyal has stated that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

Keeping in view the entire circumstances, sufficient grounds are made out to extend the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, order dated 01.02.2022, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is made absolute.

Petition is allowed.

01.08.2022
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No