

308

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

FAO-766-2003

Date of Decision: 22.09.2022

SHAHMAL AND ANOTHER

...Appellants

V/S

SURESH CHAND AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: None for the appellants.

Mr. Suvir Dewan, Advocate
for respondent No. 3-Insurance Company.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J., (ORAL)

As per order dated 10.08.2018, counsel for the appellants was directed to take necessary steps for impleading the legal representatives of appellant No. 1.

Again vide order dated 21.09.2018, one more opportunity was granted to the appellants for doing the needful and thereafter on 07.09.2022, some more time was sought for complying with the order dated 10.08.2018. However, vide order dated 21.09.2018, it was made clear that if the steps with regard to impleadment of LRs of appellant No. 1 are not taken, the present appeal shall be dismissed for non-prosecution.

Even today, neither the application has been filed nor anybody has appeared on behalf of the appellants.

Hence, the present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

22.09.2022

Ajay Goswami