

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(115)

CRM-M-4504-2019

Date of decision: 25.03.2022

Seema Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for State-respondent No.1.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking quashing of impugned judgment dated 12.11.2018 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Ld. Additional Sessions Judge, Panipat, whereby the revision petition filed by the petitioner challenging order dated 21.11.2017 (Annexure P-2) passed by Ld. Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panipat, on application under Section 311, Cr.P.C., has been dismissed.

Advance copy of the petition has been served upon the State. Upon instructions from EASI, Satbir, State counsel submits that the petition has become infructuous as respondent No.2 has been acquitted.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

25.03.2022

Pardeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No