

[230] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.255 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 16.05.2022

Dharmo Devi

...Petitioner

versus

Dharitri Panda, Principal Chief Controller of Accounts,
Ministry of Home Affairs, North Block, New Delhi

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. R.A. Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sunil K. Sharma, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 25.01.2019 in CWP No.2171 of 2019.

2. A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP No.2171 of 2019, was disposed of vide order dated 25.01.2019 by directing the respondent to decide the legal / demand notice dated 25.07.2018 by passing a speaking order within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and if on decision, it was found that the petitioner was entitled to any monetary benefit, to release the same to her within 03 months thereafter.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to notice issued to the respondent, the respondent has passed order (Annexure R-1) dated 23.05.2020, granting ordinary family pension to the petitioner and that the petitioner has challenged the aforesaid order i.e.

Annexure R-1 by way of CWP No.17651 of 2020, therefore, in the

circumstances, the petitioner may be permitted to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to pursue her claim in CWP No.17651 of 2020.

4. The same is not opposed to by the learned counsel for the respondent.

5. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

16.05.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No