

213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3258-2022
Date of Decision: 20.04.2022

HARJIT SINGH ... PETITIONER
V/S
STATE OF PUNJAB ... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. RVS Chugh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

On 28.01.2022, following order was passed:

“Petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No. 198 dated 09.12.2021 under Sections 498-A/406 IPC, registered at Police Station Sardulgarh, District Mansa.

Briefly, the FIR has been registered on the basis of an application submitted by the wife of the petitioner to the Chairman, Human Rights Commission, Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that at the earlier instance, the complainant had moved an application on 14.07.2020 to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa for initiating action against the petitioner and his family members. An enquiry in the matter was conducted and the allegations were found to be not substantiated.

It has been further stated that the co-accused has been granted pre-arrest bail by the Court of Sessions.

Notice of motion.

Mr. M.S. Nagra, AAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Adjourned to 20.04.2022.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of

the interim directions, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions of ASI Jarnail Singh has stated that the petitioner has joined the investigation on 11.04.2022, the recovery of car and golden jewellery has been effected, he is not involved in any other case and the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

In these set of circumstances, sufficient exceptional circumstances are made out to extend the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. The order dated 28.01.2022 is made absolute.

The petition stands allowed.

20.04.2022

Janki

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No