

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201-4

CRM-M-3270-2022

Date of Decision: May 05, 2022

Ravinder

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sulinder Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for the complainant

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Vide order dated 27.1.2022, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner(s).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 27.01.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

3. This fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel on instructions received from ASI Parveen Kumar. He further submits that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is no more required. He further submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioner then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions. Learned counsel for the complainant also strongly opposed the bail.

4. Given the nature of allegations, no pre-trial incarceration is required. Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioner makes a case for release on bail.

5. Given above, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 27.01.2022, is hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the the petitioner shall surrender all weapons, firearms, ammunition, if any, along with the arms license to the concerned authority within ten days from today and inform the Investigator about the compliance. However, subject to the Indian Arms Act, 1959, the petitioner shall be entitled to renew and take it back in case of acquittal in this case.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

May 05, 2022

SONIA ARORA
2022.05.06 10:13
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No