

[133] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-1609-2022

Date of Decision : 11.02.2022

Satinder Kumar ...Petitioner  
versus  
State of Haryana and others ....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Paras Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**B.S. Walia, J. (VC)**

[1] Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

[2] At the outset, learned counsel contends that the petitioner has filed revision petition (Annexure P-14) dated 10.08.2020 against order (Annexure P-13) dated 13.07.2020, imposing punishment of stoppage of 02 future increments with temporary effect but the same has not been decided, till date, therefore, in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied, if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the revision petition (Annexure P-14) dated 10.08.2020 in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

[3] Notice of motion to respondent No.2 only.

[4] Mr. Tapan Kumar, learned DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and after perusal of the writ petition states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

[5] Accordingly, in the light of statement of learned counsel for the parties but without commenting upon the merits of the case, the instant petition is *disposed of* by directing respondent No.2 to consider

and decide revision petition (Annexure P-14) dated 10.08.2020 filed by the petitioner in accordance with law, after taking into account all aspects of the matter, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within 02 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

**(B.S. Walia)**  
**Judge**

11.02.2022  
'Rajneesh'

|                                   |   |               |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i> | : | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>         | : | <i>Yes/No</i> |