

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

228

CRWP-637-2020

Date of decision: 01.04.2022

KAWALJEET KAUR

.....Petitione

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has been filed under Section 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents No.1 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondents No.5 to 7.

A short reply dated 06.11.2019 by way of affidavit of Sohan Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Ajnala, Amritsar-Rural on behalf of respondent-State had been filed and a copy thereof furnished to learned counsel for the petitioner. The relevant extract of the said reply is reproduced herein below:-

“4. That it is humbly submitted that according to the statements of the petitioner Kanwaljeet Kaur and her father Jaspal Singh, on 20.08.2019, the petitioner had gone from her house after getting angry with her parents. Thereafter, FIR No.68 dated 23.08.2019, under Sections 363/366-A/120-B IPC, Police Station Fatehgarh Churian, was got registered by Jaspal Singh (Respondents No.5) against Jagjit Singh. However, now the petitioner has come back to her parents and has recorded her statement before the Court at Batala in favour of Jagjit Singh that she does not want to get any action taken against him.

5. *That it is submitted that the petitioner has also stated vide her stament that she is now living with her parents and she does not have any danger from her parants and sister (Respondents No.5 to 7) and she does not want to get any kind of security. The statements of the petitioner and her father Jaspal Singh (respondent No.5) and report of SHO PS Jhander, Amritsar-Rural are annexed herewith as **Annexure R-1/T to R-3/T** for the kind perusal of this Hon'ble Court.*

6. *That it is humbly submitted that keeping in view the facts and submissions made hereinabove, it is evident that the petitioner had gone from her home on 20.08.2019 after getting angry with her parents (Respondents No.5 to 7). She has now come back and she is now living with her parents happily. She does not have any danger from the respondents No.5 to 7 and she does not want to get any security. Therefore, the present petition is liable to be dismissed.”*

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner today.

Even on the previous occasions counsel for the peitioner had been seeking adjournment in the matter.

It is apparent that in view of the statement got recorded by the petitioner with the Police and having been appended along with reply R-1/T , R-2/T and R-3/T, the petitioner does not entertain any apprehension of danger to her life and liberty.

The present petition is accordingly dismissed at this stage.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

APRIL 01, 2022
Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No