

**114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-725-2022

Date of decision-22.02.2022

Varinder Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. J.S.Warring, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

By means of this writ petition under Article 226 Constitution of India, petitioners seek a writ of mandamus by way of direction to official respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty from respondent Nos. 4 and 5, as the petitioners have solemnized marriage on 19.01.2022 against their wishes.

As per the facts pleaded in the petition, petitioners being in love decided to solemnize marriage, however, their alliance was opposed by private respondent Nos.4 and 5 (who are parents of petitioner No.1), as they wanted to solemnize Varinder Kaur's marriage with a boy of their choice. Therefore, on 19.01.2022 petitioners solemnized marriage at Gurudwara Guru Nanak Niwas Sahib as per Sikh Rites. As per the pleadings, petitioners have apprehension that private respondents will cause harm to them and will implicate them in a false case, therefore, a representation dated 20.01.2022 (Annexure P-4) has already been given to

Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala, but no action has been taken upon the same, so they have approached this Court with a prayer to provide them protection.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that the petitioners are facing threats at the hands of private respondents, therefore, the directions be issued to the official respondents to provide them protection.

During the course of hearing, it is conceded by learned counsel that the love affair of the petitioners is approximately three years old and the parents are consistently opposing the same from the very beginning, but previously no complaint or representation was given by Varinder Kaur. When learned counsel was asked to furnish the details of the conversation and other relevant particulars, relating to the threats allegedly extended by private respondents, he states that the petition be decided by passing an appropriate order.

In view of the above, this Court is compelled to dismiss the writ petition.

Ordered accordingly.

22.02.2022

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Yes	No
Yes	No