

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

203

CRM-M-3078-2022
Decided on : 18.04.2022

Kuldeep Singh @ Deepu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Kuldeep Singh Siwach, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 316 dated 07.09.2021 under Sections 147, 149, 323, 379-B and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Sadar Fatehabad, District Fatehabad.

On 28.01.2022, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:

“This is a petition that has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.316, dated 07.09.2021, registered under Sections 147, 149, 323, 379-B, 506 IPC, at Police Station Sadar Fatehabad, District Fatehabad.

It is submitted that the matter already stands compromised as a petition for quashing of FIR has already been filed and is pending for 18.04.2022.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present through the medium of video conferencing, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Adjourned to 18.04.2022.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation within a period of one week and on his doing so, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

List along with CRM-M-52403-2021.

28.01.2022

*Sd/-
(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE ”*

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the said order, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not involved in any other case.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Rajmal, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further custodial interrogation.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in abovesaid order dated 28.01.2022 and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 28.01.2022 is ordered to be made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

18.04.2022
Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No