

216 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3718-2022

Date of decision: 5th April, 2022

Sanjeev Malik @ Sanjeev Kumar @ Sanju @ Tinda

Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Sahil Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. The petitioner is before this court seeking regular bail in FIR No. 159, dated 3rd July, 2019, under Section 21 of NDPS Act, 1985, registered at Police Station City Phagwara, District Kapurthala.
2. One of the main ground for seeking bail is that inspite of the FIR is of year 2019, there is no progress in the trial.
3. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Sunil Kumar submits that all the prosecution witnesses are official and a sincere endeavour would be made to close the prosecution witnesses within four months from the date fixed.
4. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition at this stage.
5. Dismissed as withdrawn.

6. However, there is no doubt in case a request is made before the Court concerned for accommodating date for early examination of the prosecution witnesses, the same shall be considered in accordance with law.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

5th April, 2022
Parveen Sharma

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes /No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes /No |