

PARVEET SINGH KATHURIA

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. G.S. Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J (Oral):

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. 1973 for quashing of impugned order dated 11.01.2022 passed by Ld. Additional Sessions Judge Kaithal, (Annexure P-7) whereby the application under Section 311 of Cr.P.C. to recall/summoning the witnesses or documents in CRA No. 242 of 2018, dated 24.08.2018, titled as “Parveet Singh Kathuria Versus Harsimran Singh & another”, has been dismissed.

During the course of hearing, it is conceded by the learned counsel that the application to lead additional evidence/recalling of witness was moved before appellate court, under Section 311 Cr.P.C. instead of 391 Code of Criminal Procedure and the appellate court has dismissed the application as not maintainable.

At this stage, learned counsel does not press this petition in order to move an application under appropriate provisions of law before the appellate court.

Dismissed as not pressed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

08.02.2022

monika

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes /No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes /No