

**269 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-636-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 4th July, 2022

Ex. Const. Sunder Lal

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. S.S Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. B.B. Sharma, Senior Panel Counsel, UOI.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

This petition was filed for quashing of order dated 22.3.2019 whereby the prayer of the petitioner for pre-mature release was rejected.

Reply filed on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2 is taken on record.

The prayer for the pre-mature release of the petitioner was rejected on the ground that he had not completed fourteen years of actual sentence.

As per the reply filed, the petitioner on 31.12.2018 had completed 13 years 10 months and 21 days of sentence.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, considering that the petitioner has now completed more than 14 years of sentence, the petition is disposed of with a direction that in case the petitioner moves fresh application for pre-mature release, the same would be considered expeditiously and in accordance with law.

It is clarified that application, if so, made would be decided without being influenced by the impugned order.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending application, if any, is rendered infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

4th July, 2022
anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No