

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

213+102

CRM-M-3229-2022 (O & M)

Date of Decision:21.02.2022

SUSHIL KUMAR

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Lekh Raj Sharma, Advocate for the complainant.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

Vide the instant petition filed under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.0002 dated 02.01.2022, registered under Sections 323/34/376/506/509/452 of IPC, 1860, at Police Station Sonipat Sadar, District Sonipat, Annexure P-1.

Status report by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sonipat has been filed on behalf of the respondent-State. By referring to the same, State counsel submits that a cancellation report has been prepared, which is under scrutiny.

Learned Senior Counsel submits that in view of the affidavit of the State, the petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

21.02.2022

sheetal

**(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No