

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-3704 of 2017(O&M)
Date of decision: 05.08.2022

Bharat Bhushan Sharma

..Petitioner

Versus

Davinder Singh

..Respondent

CR-5635 of 2017(O&M)

Davinder Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

Bharat Bhushan Sharma

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Rahul Vohra, Advocate, for
Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CR-3704 of 2017) and
for the respondent (in CR-5635 of 2017)

Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate, for
Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CR-5635 of 2017) and
for the respondents (in CR-3704 of 2017)

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

These two cross revision petitions have been filed by the petitioner and the respondent challenging the order passed by the Rent controller assessing the provisional rent.

The learned counsel representing the tenant submits that pursuant to a out of court settlement, the possession of the tenanted premises has already been handed over to the landlord.

The learned counsel representing the landlord feigns ignorance.

Keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel

representing the tenant, the revision petitions are disposed of with liberty to the landlord to file an application for revival, if the facts, as stated by the learned counsel representing the tenant, are not correct.

Disposed of accordingly.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

August 05, 2022
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>