

Satinder Singh Sethi and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Manjit Singh Nijjar

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : None for the petitioners.
Mr. Ajaivir Singh, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 07.05.2019 in CWP No.9882 of 2019.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 dated 07.05.2019 reveals that CWP No. 9882 of 2019 was disposed of by directing the respondent i.e. Registrar, Punjabi University, Patiala to examine the claim of the petitioners against the backdrop of legal notice dated 15.01.2019 expeditiously and in any case within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[3] None is present on behalf of the petitioners.

[4] However, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent has filed reply along with Annexure R-1/1 dated 22.05.2020. Copy of the reply, is stated to have already been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners.

[5] Learned counsel for the respondent contends that vide Annexure R-1/1 dated 22.05.2020 claim of the petitioners as contained in

legal notice dated 15.01.2019 has been accepted in accordance with instructions of the Punjab Government dated 10.10.2019. Learned counsel contends that in view of decision vide Annexure R-1/1 in compliance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 07.05.2019 in CWP No.9882 of 2019, the instant petition be disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[6] Although, as has been stated by learned counsel for the respondent that copy of the reply along with Annexure R-1/1 dated 22.05.2020, has already been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, yet, none is present on behalf of the petitioner. However, in view of the position noted above as well as Annexure R-1/1 dated 22.05.2020 passed by the respondent in compliance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 07.05.2019 in CWP No.9882 of 2019, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is called for against the respondent.

[7] Accordingly, the contempt petition is disposed of as not calling for any action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 against the respondent while granting liberty to the petitioners to move an application for revival of the contempt petition, if cause survives.

[8] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

29.03.2022

'Amit'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>