

204-10

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3054-2022

Date of decision : 02.04.2022

Sumit @ Shami

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Rajiv Kataria, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a first petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR no.754 dated 07.11.2020 registered under Sections 120-B, 272, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC and Sections 61, 72-A of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914 in Police Station Sonipat City, District Sonipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition has become infructuous as the petitioner has been arrested in the present case.

In view of the statement given by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

April 02, 2022

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No