

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3138-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 8.3.2022

Ravinder Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Ms. Harpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.
Ms. Deepali Khattar, Advocate, for respondent No.2
(through VC)

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.535 dated 12.11.2016 registered under Sections 384 and 506 IPC at Police Station Sector 31, District Faridabad on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-4).

The above stated FIR was registered on the basis of the complaint lodged with police by the complainant/respondent No.2-Priyanka Chaudhary in which she alleged that on 11.11.2016, the present petitioner threatened her on mobile phone and during the said telephonic call, the petitioner told respondent No.2 that her father-in-law is an influential person.

On notice of motion, respondent No.2 appeared in the Court through his counsel and pleaded that he has no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been effected between the parties.

During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned

compromise.

In compliance thereof, report from the Court of Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Faridabad along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner and for respondent No.2 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amount to abuse of process of law.

For the reasons aforesaid and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.535 dated 12.11.2016 registered under Sections 384 and 506 IPC at Police Station Sector 31, District Faridabad and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

March 8, 2022

Paritosh Kumar