

[117] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-109-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 09.05.2022

Surajmal

...Petitioner

Versus

G. Anupama, IAS And others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Gauravindra Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 26.11.2009, in CWP-34317 of 2019, in case titled as Surajmal Vs. State of Haryana and others.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 dated 26.11.2009, reveals that CWP-34317 of 2019, was disposed of by directing the respondent to consider and decide legal notice dated 30.07.2019, within three months, from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

3. Notice has not yet been issued in this case. However, pursuant to time taken to obtain instructions, a short affidavit of Divisional Forest Officer, (T), District Karnal, has been filed in Court along with Annexure R/1, deciding the legal notice dated 30.07.2019, granting the benefits claimed except ACP.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant

petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same, with liberty to challenge order Annexure R/1, to the extent the same denies grant of ACP.

5. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, with liberty to the petitioner to challenge order Annexure R/1 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

09.05.2022
rajesh/rimpal