

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**211+107**

**CRM-M-3039-2022(O&M)**

Date of Decision : **February 22, 2022**

**SAHID**

.....Petitioner

***VERSUS***

**STATE OF HARYANA**

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI**

**Through Video Conferencing**

Present : Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

**JASGURPREET SINGH PURI. J. (Oral)**

**CRM-5788-2022**

The present application has been filed for placing on record

Annexures P-7 to P-9.

For the reasons recorded in the application, the same is allowed and accompanying Annexures P-7 to P-9 are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

**Main case**

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.30 dated 7.6.2021 under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC and Sections 66-C, 66-D of Information Technology Act, registered at Police Station Cyber Crime, Faridabad.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was falsely implicated in the present case and infact not only in this case but there are two more cases of similar nature against the petitioner in which the petitioner has been falsely implicated and the reason for the same was that the police officials were having grudge against the petitioner and his family due to registration of criminal cases against them on the allegations of killing of petitioner's maternal uncle while he was in custody and it was because of this reason that the present FIR is lodged. He submitted that apart from the same, no money has been transferred in the account of the petitioner pertaining to the subject matter of the present case which further goes to show that the petitioner has been falsely implicated. He further referred to the bail order passed by this Court in the other FIR No. 31 dated 7.6.2021 (Annexure P-9) in this regard. He submitted that the petitioner is in custody from 31.8.2021 and the investigation of the case is already complete and the challan stands presented and nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner and since the trial of the case may take long time, he may be considered for the grant of regular bail.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Narinder Singh has submitted that it is correct that nothing has come into the account of the petitioner directly pertaining to the subject matter of the present FIR. The custody of the petitioner is not in dispute and it is also not disputed by the learned State counsel that the investigation of the case has been completed and the challan has been presented.

I have heard the learned counsels for the parties.

The petitioner is in custody from 31.8.2021 and the investigation of the case is already complete and nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner. In the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it fit and proper to grant bail to the petitioner.

Consequently, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing of bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of concerned Chief Judicial Magistrate/trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

However, anything observed here-in-above shall have no effect on the merits of the case and is meant for deciding the present petition only.

**February 22, 2022**

ajay-1

**(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)**  
**JUDGE**

|                            |   |        |
|----------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned. | : | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable.        | : | Yes/No |