

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CR-3531-2018

Date of decision : 20.07.2022

Vinod Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Sunita and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr.Harkesh Manuja, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Nipun Vashisht, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

In compliance with order dated 25.05.2018, the District and Sessions Judge, Gurugram has forwarded the report of the Local Commissioner. It has been reported that despite the restraint orders, the construction has been carried out by defendant No.2.

This revision petition arises from an order passed by the trial Court refusing to grant police help in order to implement the order of injunction in which the defendants were restrained from raising further construction.

The report is taken on record. Since the suit is pending before the trial court, therefore, the Registrar General of this Court is directed to remit the report to the concerned Presiding Judge before whom the case is pending.

Learned counsel representing the petitioner admits that the construction work has already been completed.

In view of the aforesaid factual position, the revision petition is disposed of, while directing the Court to decide the pending suit expeditiously, preferably within a period of one year, from today. Any construction carried out during the pendency of the suit shall be subject to the decision of the case and would not adversely impact the result of the suit.

20.07.2022*anju***(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No