

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Writ Petition No. 679 of 2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.01.2022**

ANJALI AND ANOTHER

..... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Ashish Naik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pradeep Prakash Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

[Through Video Conferencing]

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of a mandamus hence directing respondent Nos. 2 & 3 to give protection to the life, and liberty, of the petitioners, and against any interference in the peaceful life of the petitioners being made at the behest of respondent Nos. 4 to 5.

2. The learned State Counsel, does not have any objection, to an order being made by this Court to the respondents concerned, to look into and decide through a speaking order, representation Annexure P-4.

3. Consequently, this Court directs respondents concerned, to within three weeks hereafter, hence decide Annexure P-4, through a speaking order.

4. However, the afore order is subject to the condition that, upon the investigating officer, discovering credible evidence, with respect to the age of the co-petitioner Anjali, and, in case from the birth certificate

concerned, it is clear that she is below 18 years, thereupon, upon, a

complaint being filed by the father of the afore, it is open for the investigating officer concerned, to draw an appropriate action, against the accused, in accordance with law. Nonetheless, he may not arrest him without issuing seven days notice upon him.

5. Petition is disposed of.
6. A copy of this order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

January 25, 2022
'ithlesh'

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No