

**265 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
CRWP-731-2022
Date of Decision: 6th July, 2022**

Paramjit Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Kuldeep Attri, Advocate for
Mr. Binat Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Deepak Verma, Advocate for the respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(Oral)

1. This petition was filed seeking directions to the official respondents to take action against the private respondents on the basis of representation dated 28th December, 2021. Further prayer was to protect life and liberty of the petitioner and her family members.

2. Learned State counsel has filed affidavit dated 23rd February, 2022. As per the pleadings of the affidavit, the allegations in the representation was inquired by Deputy Superintendent of Police, Special Branch, Hoshiarpur. The report was sent to Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, thereafter, FIR No. 13, dated 7th February, 2022, under Sections 323, 354, 148, 149, 506 IPC and Section 4 of Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (for short 'the Act') was registered against respondents No.6 to 11.

3. Para 6 of the reply is reproduced below:-

“ That it is submitted that on this, the deponent went through the above said inquiry report in respect of the application (Annexure P-3) of petitioner conducted by DSP Special Branch, Hoshiarpur and it was found that FIR No. 13, dated 07.02.2022, under Sections 323, 354, 148, 149, 506 IPC and Section 4 of SC and ST Act has already been registered at

Police Station Chabbewal, District Hoshiarpur against respondent No. 6 to 11 with regard to the matter of application (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner. Subsequently offence under Section 3 (1) (r) (s), of SC/ST Act, 1989 was added to the present FIR and offence under Section 4 of SC/ST Act was deleted from the present FIR vide DDR No. 027, dated 10.02.2022.”

4. In view of the reply filed, no further directions are called for.

It would not be out of place to mention here that no request for protecting life and liberty of petitioner and her family members was made in the representation. The grievance stands redressed with the registration of the FIR. The petition is disposed of.

5. Needless to say that petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for redressal of surviving grievance, if any.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

6th July, 2022
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No