214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3207-2022
Date of Decision: 19.04.2022

SIMRAN BALI ... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA .. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Shantanu Bansal, Advocate for
Mr. Sushant Kareer, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

*****

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

On 28.01.2022, the following order was passed:-

"Petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.129 dated 09.09.2021 under Sections 498-A, 323 & 406 of IPC, registered at Police Station Women, Panchkula.

Briefly, the FIR has been registered on the basis of the complaint submitted by Dr. Sachayta Bali against the petitioner and other family members.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the marriage was solemnized on 12.05.2013 at Zirakpur. The parties had been earlier residing at Ludhiana. The petitioner is presently posted as Medical Officer in Fatehgarh Sahib and the complainant is still residing in the parental house of the petitioner along with her parents. The parents and sister of the petitioner have been granted pre-arrest bail by the Court of Sessions. At the earlier instance, the petitioner had joined the investigation and even the golden jewellery was got recovered. Furthermore, as per the status report, Annexure P-5 submitted by the Officer-in-Charge, Women Police Station Panchkula, the challan has been prepared and is pending for checking.

SMRITI
2022.04.19 16:44
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Notice of motion.

Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, DAG Haryana accepts the notice on behalf of the State.

Adjourned to 19.04.2022.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C."

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

Learned State counsel has not dispute the aforesaid factual aspects of the matter.

Keeping in view the entire circumstances, sufficient grounds are made out to extend the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, order dated 28.01.2022, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is made absolute.

Petition is allowed.

19.04.2022 **(VIVEK PURI)**
smriti **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No

SMRITI
2022.04.19 16:44
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document