

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CRM-M-3354-2022

Date of Decision : January 27, 2022

RITA AND ORS

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Through Video Conferencing

Present : Mr. Robin Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI. J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to respondent No.3 to not to harass, humiliate, torture and pressurize the petitioners on the pretext that one of the family members, namely, Shelly Kumar son of Arjan Dass is involved in a case but in order to secure his presence a number of times the police is raiding the house of all the petitioners which includes lady members and a number of times the petitioners have been called in the Police Station and they were forced to remain there for the purpose of extracting information with regard to the aforesaid Shelly Kumar and all the family members have already told that they are not in contact with the aforesaid Shelly Kumar.

The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the scope of the present petition may be confined only to the extent of seeking directions to the SSP to take action on the representation given by the petitioners vide Annexure P-1 in accordance with law.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harbir Sandhu, AAG, Punjab Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State of Punjab and has stated that he has sought instructions to the extent that the representation Annexure P-1 has not yet been considered by the SSP nor it has been decided. He has submitted that he has no objection in case the representation given by the petitioners is considered and decided by respondent No.2-SSP in accordance with law.

I have heard the learned counsels for the parties.

The learned counsel for the petitioners has confined the scope of the present petition only to the extent of seeking directions to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation Annexure P-1 in which serious allegations have been levelled by the petitioners which includes some lady members as well that one of the family members, namely, Shelly Kumar is involved in some case but the petitioners have been harassed continuously by respondent No.3 for a long period of time for securing the presence of the accused person although they have told number of times that they are not in contact with him.

Without going into the merits of the present case, this Court deems it fit and proper to direct SSP-respondent No.2 to consider the representation moved by the petitioners vide Annexure P-1 and to decide the same in accordance with law within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Disposed of.

January 27, 2022

ajay-1

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No