

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 1279 of 2022 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 27.01.2022

SKS Nirman Vaanijay Pvt. Ltd.Petitioner
Versus
Union of India and others ...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE.**

Present:- Mr. Dinesh Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual Court).

* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE (oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has filed this petition being aggrieved by the fact that the petitioner has not been found eligible for award of contract for strengthening of NH-709 (extension) from KM.80.848 to KM 87.00 in Rohtak to EPC Mode (Engineering, Procurement and Construction).

Learned counsel for the petitioner, after arguing at length, submits that the petitioner would file a representation before the respondent-authorities taking up all the issues, which may be directed to be considered and decided by the respondent-authorities.

It is observed that the petitioner has not impleaded the State of Haryana as party-respondent but only Engineer-in-Chief, Department of Public Works (Building and Road) Branch, Chandigarh has been impleaded as party-respondent.

However, learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the respondent-State of Haryana submits that in case the petitioner files a representation with regard to its grievances, the respondent-authorities shall look into and decide the same expeditiously in accordance with law.

In view of the aforesaid statement made by learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, the petition stands disposed of with a direction that in case the petitioner files a representation, the same shall be considered and decided by the authorities expeditiously in accordance with law.

It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and therefore, the respondent-authorities would be at liberty to examine the matter keeping all facts and facets into consideration and thereafter accept or reject the same in accordance with law.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

27.01.2022
ravinder

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No