

240

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-1632-2021

Date of decision: 04.07.2022

NAVEEN

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant writ petition has been filed seeking the benefit of four additional marks to be awarded to the petitioner herein on account of higher education.

In the present writ petition it is contended that the petitioner, who had applied for the appointment of Sub-Inspector (Male) had been given 54.4 marks but further, weightage on account of additional qualification had not been awarded and in case the said four marks are given, the petitioner herein would come into the zone of consideration.

Pursuant to notice of motion having been issued, appearance has been caused on behalf of respondent-State and a short reply on behalf of respondent No.2 is available on record. As per the reply, the petitioner has been found entitled for four marks on account of additional qualification. It is further stated that the case of the petitioner would be considered and in case he comes in the merit zone, he would be granted the necessary benefit.

Pursuant to reply filed and the additional marks having been awarded to the petitioner herein, the instant writ petition is rendered infructuous. Even there is none to represent the petitioner.

Dismissed as infructuous.

04.07.2022
Chetan Thakur

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No