

**104 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-3649-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 26.07.2022

Harbhajan Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Kartar Singh @ Kartar Singh Arora

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. K.R.Dhawan, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate for the respondent

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

The petitioner is a tenant, who has been ordered to be evicted by the Rent Controller as well as the Appellate Authority, on the ground of bonafide personal necessity of the landlord in order to settle his children.

Heard learned counsel representing the parties at length and with their able assistance perused the paperbook.

Learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that a previous petition on the ground of personal necessity filed by the landlord was dismissed. Both the authorities have examined the aforesaid fact. The previous petition was filed for the requirement of Varunjit Singh whereas the present petition has been filed for the requirement of Gurkirant Singh and Varunjit Singh, both landlord's sons. After completing the studies the children have grown up and the landlord wants to settle them in life.

Furthermore, Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Hindustan**

Petroleum Corporation Ltd. vs. Dilbahar Singh (2014) 9 SCC 78 has

held that scope of revisional jurisdiction is limited.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground to interfere is made out.

Hence, dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

26.07.2022

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE