

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

205

1. CR-256-2022

Date of decision: 30.09.2022

ESTATE OFFICER, HUDA (NOW HSVP) ..Petitioner

Versus

SUBHASH CHAND AND ORS. ..Respondents

2. CR-288-2022

ESTATE OFFICER, HUDA (NOW HSVP) ..Petitioner

Versus

CHATTAR SINGH AND ORS. ..Respondents

3. CR-294-2022

ESTATE OFFICER, HUDA (NOW HSVP) ..Petitioner

Versus

MANGE RAM AND ORS. ..Respondents

4. CR-303-2022

ESTATE OFFICER, HUDA (NOW HSVP) ..Petitioner

Versus

RAJ KUMAR AND ORS. ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

**Present: Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

**Mr. Abhishek Kharb, Advocate
for respondent No.1 (in CR-294-2022)**

**Mr. Punit Malik, Advocate
for respondent No.1 (in CR-303-2022)**

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Despite the service of notice of the petition, the respondent in

CR-256-2022 & CR-288-2088, have not entered appearance.

Admittedly, there is neither any award nor any judgment or any order in favour of the respondents which can be enforced through the execution petition. The respondents claim the enhanced compensation on the ground that the various co-owners in the petitions filed by them, the Court has enhanced the market value of the acquired land. Such issue has been discussed and decided in Civil Revision No.814 No.2020, titled as “Rajender Singh and another Vs. State of Haryana and others”, decided on 15.09.2022.

The present petitions are disposed of in the same terms.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

September 30th, 2022

Ay

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No