

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

105

**CR-3146-2017(O&M)
Date of decision:24.03.2022**

KARANDEEP SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

HARSHWARDHAN AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

**Present: Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

**Mr. Ashwani Talwar, Advocate
for respondent no.3-Insurance Company.**

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

The hearing of the case is being held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

An application filed by the Insurance Company to summon the witness from the office of District Transport Officer, Godda (Jharkhand) has been allowed by the Motor Accidents Claims Tribunal, Kurukshetra (hereinafter referred to as 'the Tribunal'). The petitioner is owner of the car which allegedly caused the accident.

The learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that the aforesaid witness could not be summoned as the distance between Godda (Jharkhand) and Kurukshetra, is more than 400 to 500 kms.

The Tribunal after noticing that Gaya and New Delhi are connected through air and the travel on the road and train would be less than 500 kms, ordered summoning of the witness. The petitioner is not likely to suffer any prejudice.

Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

March 24, 2022
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No