

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.116

**CWP-1214-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision : 11.07.2022**

Joga Singh and another

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. S.S. Salar, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

In the course of partition proceedings, *sanad* had been issued which has been challenged in this writ petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that partition has been carried out contrary to the mode of partition and thus, it is not sustainable in law.

A perusal of the order of the Financial Commissioner shows that the petitioners had filed objections to the Naksha Bey and the same were accepted. Amended Naksha Bey was passed on 28.10.2015 and no further objections were raised. Thus, the argument of *sanad* being violative of the mode of partition has been rejected.

The observations do not call for any interference as admittedly no objections were filed after objections dated 11.09.2015.

The argument raised is thus, mis-conceived and writ petition is dismissed.

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

11.07.2022

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No