

214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-227-2020(O&M)

Date of Decision :18.04.2022

Gursarn Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Manjit Singh Nijjar, Registrar

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : None for the petitioners.

Mr. Ajaivir Singh, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-4, dated 24.07.2019 , in CWP-20247-2019.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P-4 reveals that CWP-20247-2019, was disposed of vide order dated 24.07.2019 by directing the respondent to pass appropriate speaking order on the claim raised by the petitioners in the legal notice dated 26.04.2019 within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent has produced copy of speaking order dated 12.06.2020 which is stated to have been supplied to the petitioners. Learned counsel for the respondent further undertakes to furnish copy of speaking order dated 12.06.2020 to the learned counsel for the petitioner during the course of the day. A perusal of order dated 12.06.2020 reveals that 16 out of 17 petitioners have been held entitled to the payment claimed in the legal notice. Learned Counsel further states

that pursuant to order dated 12.06.2020, benefits as determined in said order have also been released to the petitioners except petitioner No. 13 who has not been found entitled to the relief claimed, therefore, his claim has been rejected. Order dated 12.06.2020 is taken on record.

4. In view of the position noted above, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to petitioner No. 13 to challenge order dated 12.06.2020 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law qua denial of benefits to him. Liberty is also granted to all the petitioners to move an application for revival of the contempt petition, if cause survives.

5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

18.04.2022
ps

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No