

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-2864-2022
Date of decision: 30.03.2022**

Hannan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 925 dated 19.12.2017, registered under Section 174-A IPC at Police Station Sector 58, District Faridabad.

On 24.01.2022, the following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was facing trial in case FIR No. 09 dated 07.01.2014, registered under Sections 323, 34 and 341 of the IPC, however, he absented from the court proceedings and was declared a proclaimed offender and thereafter, the present FIR No. 925 was registered, however, in the meantime, co-accused of the petitioner, namely Imran, was acquitted by the trial Court in the said case/FIR.

Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is ready to surrender before the trial Court and apply for grant of regular bail.

Notice of motion for 30.03.2022.

In the meantime, the arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed, subject to a condition that he will appear

before the trial Court in FIR No. 09 as well as FIR No. 925 within a period of 15 days from today and on doing so, he will be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

However, this will be subject to a condition that the petitioner will deposit a cost of Rs. 5,000/- with the District Legal Services Authority, Faridabad for causing delay in disposal of trial.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 24.01.2022, has already appeared before the trial Court and he has been released on bail. It is further submitted that the petitioner has also deposited the cost of Rs. 5,000/- as directed by this Court.

Learned counsel for the State, on the basis of the compliance report submitted by the trial Court, has not disputed the factual position.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the order dated 24.01.2022, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

30.03.2022

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No