

206-3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.2814 of 2022 (O&M)
Decided on: 31.05.2022

Gurjinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Sahil Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Himmat Singh, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 199 dated 16.04.2018, under Sections 148, 149, 307, 302, 323, 324, 447, 506 and 511 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Shahabad, City Kurukshetra, District Kurukshetra.

The operative part of the order dated 24.01.2022, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“....Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that although the petitioner was named in the FIR, but no overt act has been attributed to him, as it is merely stated that he was part of the mob. The police after investigation did not find any prima facie case against the petitioner and he was put in column No.2. The petitioner has been summoned on the statements of PW4 Rukumdeen, PW8 Kashu, PW10 Yasin and PW17 Usman Khan on their re-examination. These witnesses in their deposition before

the trial Court in the first instance did not support the prosecution case. Besides the statements of aforementioned witnesses upon their re-examination, there is no prima facie material which would connect the petitioner with the alleged commission of offence. He further contends that co-accused Ranjit Singh has been granted ad interim anticipatory bail by this Court by order dated 18.01.2022 passed in CRM-M No. 1675 of 2022, which is listed for hearing on 17.02.2022....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 24.01.2022, the petitioner has appeared before the trial Court and released on bail.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has not disputed the aforesaid fact.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 24.01.2022 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

31.05.2022
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No