

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.209

CRM-M-3479-2021

Date of decision: 27.01.2022

Rahul @ Golu

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Manvender Chaudhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since in the petitioner's trial the entire prosecution evidence has already been led, at this stage, he does not press the present petition on its merits and would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Trial Court to conclude the petitioner's trial in a time bound manner.

Learned State counsel has no objection if the above alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioner is accepted.

Since the petitioner has a right to a speedy trial; the petitioner has been in custody since 29.09.2019 and the entire prosecution evidence in his trial has already been led, the alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioner is accepted and the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Trial Court to finally adjudicate upon the petitioner's trial within two months from the date next fixed before it, in accordance with law. However, such direction shall be subject to the petitioner's/his counsel's cooperation.

January 27, 2022

Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No