

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(107)

CWP No. 19051 of 2021

Date of Decision : 12.05.2022

Ramesh Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Ram Kumar Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed the present petition seeking promotion to the post of Assistant Foreman from the deemed date i.e. 17.09.2012 instead of the date on which already granted i.e. 17.01.2013.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the person, who are juniors to the petitioner, were granted the promotion to the post of Assistant Foreman from the said date, which benefit was not granted to the petitioner and that too without any valid justification, which fact has only come to the notice of the petitioner after the petitioner was supplied the information under the RTI, after which date the petitioner has been agitating the said claim before the authorities.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the facts, appropriate direction be issued to the respondents to grant

promotion to the petitioner from the deemed date of promotion to the post

of Assistant Foreman from 17.09.2012.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 18.08.2020 (Annexure P-9), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to respondent No. 2 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Raman Sharma, Advocate, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-UHBVNL and raises no objection in deciding the legal notice dated 18.08.2020 (Annexure P-9) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the legal notice, respondent No. 2 is directed to decide the legal notice dated 18.08.2020 (Annexure P-9), by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

May 12, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	√ Yes/No
Whether reportable?	√ Yes/No