

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2830-2022
Date of decision : 07.02.2022

Sunita

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Vikas Bali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Sarabjit Singh Cheema, AAG, Punjab.

Mr.Anurag Arora, Advocate
for respondent no.2-complainant.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a first petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR no.113 dated 23.09.2021 registered under Sections 419, 465, 468, 471, 473, 120-B IPC at Police Station Bhargo Camp, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the State as well as learned counsel for the complainant have submitted that in the present case, the petitioner has been arrested and thus, the present petition has become infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has also reiterated the fact that the petitioner has been arrested and thus, has prayed for withdrawal of the present petition and the trial Court be directed to decide the regular bail application, in case the petitioner applies for regular bail, as expeditiously

as possible preferably within a period of 4 days from the date of filing of the said application.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

In case the petitioner applies for regular bail, the trial Court is directed to decide the same as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of 4 days from the date of filing of the said application.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

February 07, 2022
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No