

[112] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.206 of 2020
Date of Decision :08.08.2022

Anand son of Shri Daya Ram ...Petitioner

versus

Sudhir Rajpal, Director, Department of Rural
Development and Panchayat, Haryana, Chandigarh.Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Gurnoor Sandhu, Advocate for
Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 14.05.2019, in CWP No.6917 of 2019 in case titled as 'Anand*versus*State of Haryana and others'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1), reveals that CWPNo.6917 of 2019, was disposed of by directing the respondent to consider and decide representation dated 28.08.2018 in accordance with law within six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the judgment and if on consideration, the competent authority came to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner was admissible, then in such eventuality, consequential relief was to be allowed to the petitioner within a period of six weeks thereafter.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that order dated 24.01.2020 has been passed by the respondent in compliance

of order (Annexure P-1), dated 14.05.2019, in CWP No.6917 of 2019, therefore, in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

08.08.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No