

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.236

CRM-M-2788-2022

Date of decision : 14.2.2022

Gaija alias Banti and another

....Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioners

Ms.Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that since the petitioner's trial is at its fag end, he, at this stage, does not not press the present petition and would be satisfied, if the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to finally conclude the petitioner's trial in a time bound manner.

In the facts and circumstances of the present case, the alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioners is found to be reasonable and therefore, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to finally conclude the petitioner's trial within one month from the next date fixed before it, in accordance with law.

14.2.2022

gsv

**[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes / No

Whether reportable

:

Yes / No