

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2857 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.07.2022.

SANDEEP SINGH @ SANDEEP SINGH GILL

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Sumit Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN J. (ORAL)

This petition is filed for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 151 dated 04.12.2019 (Annexure P1), under Sections 420, 406, 467, 468, 471 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Khanauri, District Sangrur.

On 24.01.2022, the following order was passed:-

“Counsel for the petitioner herein would contend that the petitioner herein was regularly appearing before the trial court, however, could not appear on 18.08.2021, on which date, his bail was cancelled and bail bond and surety bond were forfeited to State. It is argued that the petitioner could not appear on 18.08.2021 due to miscommunication with the Advocate and had no intention to jump the bail.

Notice of motion for 18.07.2022.

At this stage, Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG Punjab, who is appearing through the medium of video conferencing, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the meantime, arrest of the petitioner is stayed for a period of two weeks. The petitioner is directed to join the

proceedings before the trial court within the period of two weeks and on his doing so, the trial court is directed to release him on interim bail subject to his furnishing fresh bail bond and surety bond to its satisfaction. It is made clear that in case, the petitioner herein fails to join the proceedings within the stipulated period, any interim protection granted to the petitioner shall stand automatically vacated.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner appeared before the trial Court and was released on interim bail.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, is not disputing the contentions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above, this petition is disposed of.

It is clarified that the trial Court would be at liberty to impose such conditions as deemed necessary for ensuring the presence of the petitioner during the trial.

18.07.2022
rekha sharma

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>whether speaking/non-speaking</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>