

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3564-2022

Date of Decision: 19th April, 2022

Ranjan Saini and another

Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr.Gourave Bhayyia Gilhotra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This petition was filed seeking relief that investigation in FIR No. 8 dated 7.1.2021 registered at P.S. Indri, District Karnal under Sections 406, 409, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC should be handled by the Crime Branch.

Learned counsel for the petitioners while arguing the matter restricted his prayer that the accused are not being arrested.

Learned State Counsel has filed reply, the same is taken on record. Copy handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

As per the pleadings in the reply, earlier accused Amit Kumar @ Mita/Meetu was arrested, later Parmit Kumar was arrested. It is further pleaded that all efforts are being made to arrest the other accused.

In view of the reply on the restricted prayer, no further directions are called for.

Petition is disposed of.

Needless to say that the petitioners would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for redressal of surviving grievances, if any.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

19th April, 2022
anuradha

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |