

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(229)

CRWP-505-2020

Date of decision: - 09.03.2022

Harpreet Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab.
for respondents No.1 to 3.

None for respondent No.4.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

The present criminal writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.1 to 3 not to harass the petitioners and to protect their life and liberty, who are being threatened by respondent No.4 and also not to proceed with the complaint dated 04.04.2019 (P-11).

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Kashmir Singh, has submitted that in the present case is primarily a matrimonial dispute as petitioner No.1 is the husband of respondent No.4 and in the

said case, an FIR No.43 dated 06.12.2019 was registered against the petitioners party, in which, a compromise has been effected between the parties and a petition for quashing of the FIR on the basis of compromise was also filed and the same has been allowed and the FIR has been quashed. It is stated by the learned State counsel in view of the said facts, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he has made every effort, but is unable to get in touch with his clients.

In view of the above-said facts, the present petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

However, a liberty is granted to the petitioners to file a fresh petition, in accordance with law, in case the said facts are not found to be correct.

March 09, 2022
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No