

208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2585-2022  
Date of Decision : 28.01.2022

Harmesh Kumar ...Petitioner  
Versus  
State of Punjab ...Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA**

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Spehia, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**ANOOP CHITKARA, J (ORAL)**

The petitioner, apprehending arrest came up before this Court under Section 438 Cr.P.C., seeking anticipatory bail.

2. Vide order dated 24.01.2022, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the previous order dated 24.01.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

4. This fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel on instructions received from SI Ramesh Kumar. However, learned State counsel has opposed the bail. He further submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioner then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

5. Given the nature of allegations, no pre-trial incarceration is required. Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioner makes a case for release on bail,.

6. Given above, the present petition is allowed. The interim order dated 24.01.2022 is hereby made absolute.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)**  
**JUDGE**

**January 28, 2022**  
Manpreet

|                           |     |
|---------------------------|-----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes |
| Whether reportable        | No  |