

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

229

CRM-M-2628-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.04.2022

SOMBIR @ CHATRI

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Lekh Raj Nandal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No.421 dated 04.07.2018, registered under Sections 186, 353, 307, 34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Sampla, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been involved in the present case; that initially the petitioner was granted the concession of regular bail by the learned trial Court on 09.10.2018; that due to some unavoidable circumstances, the petitioner could not attend the trial proceedings and that the petitioner was declared as a proclaimed offender on 15.03.2019; that the petitioner was re-arrested on 26.06.2020 and since then he has been in custody.

On the other hand, while opposing the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, learned State counsel submits that the petitioner had misused the concession of bail granted to him and that the petitioner is a habitual offender, inasmuch as, during the period, he was

absconding, three more FIRs have been registered against him; that if granted indulgence sought for, he will again involve himself in similar crime and, therefore, the petitioner is not entitled to the same concession again.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Indisputably, the petitioner was declared a proclaimed offender but was re-arrested only after a considerable gap. Assuming the ground for his absence before the learned trial Court to be correct, even then the fact remains that during his period of absconding, 03 more FIRs have been registered against him.

There is no denial to the said fact from the petitioner's side.

Moreover, having had his complicity in the repeated offences, the petitioner is not entitled to the concession of regular bail, which of course, if granted would pave a way to him to involve himself in another similar offences.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

06.04.2022

Aman Jain

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)

JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>